

8/20

John,

What Penn State has done is expected and consistent. The only concern from the beginning of this by administrators and trustees has been to protect their image. They didn't question what they called "victims," instead they opened their arms and offered settlements, psychologists, public events, and media presentations. A public relations firm was hired early; ~~and~~ this was their approach, and it didn't matter who was thrown under the bus. Once they chose this course, they had to protect themselves.

Why would they question anything now? If you ask me, it's been insane from the beginning. They have chosen (maybe insurance companies) to just ~~throw~~ ^{throw} out the money to anybody who called. Why wouldn't hundreds come forward?

As far as #5, there are some things I can't say at this time. My feeling is Joe Amendola thought the allegations were so absurd he did not focus on him, with anything else he had to attend to. There were two bill of particulars. Initially, the bill of particulars said the ~~one~~ one incident occurred in the morning of the fall of 1998 in the east area locker room. It was impossible because of my schedule. After more time with investigators, attorneys, probably a psychologist, he concluded it was August 2001 in the Tasci Building. How could you be that far off on such a significant event? I couldn't and didn't take bids in there after Spring of 2001. It would have been dummy precision practice, make sense? Jerry Sandusky